# Ground fire while refuelling, Boeing 777-236, G-VIIK, September 5, 2001

Micro-summary: This Boeing 777 was substantially damaged during a ground fire while refuelling.

Event Date: 2001-09-05 at 1714 MDT

Investigative Body: National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB), USA

Investigative Body's Web Site: http://www.ntsb.gov/

## Cautions:

1. Accident reports can be and sometimes are revised. Be sure to consult the investigative agency for the latest version before basing anything significant on content (e.g., thesis, research, etc).

2. Readers are advised that each report is a glimpse of events at specific points in time. While broad themes permeate the causal events leading up to crashes, and we can learn from those, the specific regulatory and technological environments can and do change. Your company's flight operations manual is the final authority as to the safe operation of your aircraft!

3. Reports may or may not represent reality. Many many non-scientific factors go into an investigation, including the magnitude of the event, the experience of the investigator, the political climate, relationship with the regulatory authority, technological and recovery capabilities, etc. It is recommended that the reader review all reports analytically. Even a "bad" report can be a very useful launching point for learning.

4. Contact us before reproducing or redistributing a report from this anthology. Individual countries have very differing views on copyright! We can advise you on the steps to follow.

Aircraft Accident Reports on DVD, Copyright © 2006 by Flight Simulation Systems, LLC All rights reserved. www.fss.aero

National Transportation Safety Board	NTSB ID: DEN01FA157 Aircraft Registration Number: G-VIIK						mber: G-VIIK		
FACTUAL REPORT		Occurrent	ce Date: 09/05	5/2001	Most Critical Ir	njury: Fa	tal		
ÁYIATION <sub>ETYBO</sub> N		Occurrence Type: Accident Investigated By: NTSB							
Location/Time									
Nearest City/Place	State	e Zip Code Local Time Time Zone							
Denver	СО	80	0249	1714	MDT				
Airport Proximity: On Airport	Dista	nce From L	anding Facility:		Direction From Airport:				
Aircraft Information Summary									
Aircraft Manufacturer			Model/Series	5			Type of Aircraft		
Boeing			777-236				Airplane		
Sightseeing Flight:		A	ir Medical Tr	ansport Flight:					
Narrative									
Brief narrative statement of facts, conditions and circumstan HISTORY OF FLIGHT	nces perti	inent to the ac	cident/incident:						
G-VIIK, was substantially damaged during a ground fire at Denver International Airport, Denver, Colorado. The fire started when the airplane was parked at the gate unloading passengers and being refueled. The captain, first officer, a third pilot, 13 cabin crewmembers, and 10 passengers who were on board at the time of the accident, were not injured; however, the ground service refueler was fatally injured. British Airways was operating the airplane, Flight 2019 (call sign BAW91F), under Title 14 CFR Part 129. Visual meteorological conditions prevailed for the 9 hour 38 minute cross-country flight that originated from London, United Kingdom. The airplane departed Gatwick International Airport, London, United Kingdom, at 0713 with 256 passengers, and was cleared to land on runway 16 at Denver International Airport (DEN) Denver, Colorado, at 1646 (the scheduled arrival time was 1615). Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Air Traffic Control (Denver tower) instructed BAW91F to contact Denver ramp control, for taxi instructions, at 1656. BAW91F was cleared to taxi to gate A37, and its flight data recorder indicates that its auxiliary power unit (APU) was started at 1658 and its engines were shut down at 1706. A British Airways Senior Air Safety Investigator stated that the airline's Boeing 777's APU is normally started during taxi-in, and the airplane's electrical load is transferred from the main engines to the APU automatically via "no-break" technology when the engines are in idle, or during shut down. The captain for the flight said that during short time turn around, ground power is not used. He said that at the time of the accident, the airplane's electrical power supply was being generated by its APU.									
The refueling hydrant truck w the left engine. Videos ta been chocked, and the hydrant pit hydrant. The DEN fire confirmed that the refueler h airplane's left main landing ge	as pa ken f truck der ad gr ar.	arked un From DEN s's hydr partment counded	der the ai firefight ant couple 's video a the truck	rplane's left of ing equipment s r had been atta nd a United Ais to the pit hyds	wing, facin showed that ached to th rline's mai rant, and b	g aft, the h e airp ntenan onded	and outboard of hydrant truck had port's subsurface nce-engineer also the truck to the		
The maintenance-engineer said to the airplane's left wing r hydrant truck, he noted that r he frequently saw refuelers refueling), and/or to receive remember seeing the lift platfo	enance-engineer said the refueler had raised the lift platform and had attached two dirplane's left wing refueling manifold system. As the maintenance-engineer approach cruck, he noted that refueling of the airplane had already started. He further state ently saw refuelers lower their lift platforms for head clearance comfort (dur: , and/or to receive their refueling requirements from a maintenance-engineer. He can seeing the lift platform move on this occasion.						tached two hoses er approached the ther stated that fort (during the heer. He did not		
The maintenance-engineer said hydrant truck to tell the	he refue	positi eler wh	oned hims at fuel l	elf between t oad should be p	ne airplane put on the	's lef airpla	t engine and the ane. He said the		

National Transportation Safety Board	NTSB ID: DEN01FA157	
FACTUAL REPORT	Occurrence Date: 09/05/2001	
AVIATION ETYBOR	Occurrence Type: Accident	

refueler turned towards him and leaned down, with his back to the refueling hoses, to give him the amount of fuel that remained from the previous flight. He said the refueler had the dead-man fuel control (shut off device) in his left hand, and the hydrant truck's fuel flow meter was beginning to rotate rapidly. The last reading he remembered seeing on the fuel flow meter was 60 gallons. He further noted that the hydrant truck's turbo-diesel engine was running.

As the maintenance-engineer looked up at the refueler, he observed the inboard fuel hose separate sideways (forward, in relationship to the truck) from the airplane, and flap around "violently spraying fuel in all directions." He yelled at the refueler that the "hose was loose." The maintenance-engineer was immediately soaked with fuel and even swallowed some. He said the flames propagated up from the bottom of the truck, through the open lattice of the lift platform floor, and "engulfed the fueler." He immediately ran for a large fire-extinguishing bottle.

A second maintenance-engineer was standing inboard of the left engine when he "felt the heat and turned and looked to see a huge fire had broken out at the fuel truck [hydrant truck]." The airplane's captain was standing inside the airplane near the door to the jetway. He said that a flight attendant was the first crewmember to notice the fire; her alarm motivated him to move to a jetway window to view aft. He said that he observed a "fire near [the] left engine," and he ordered all remaining persons to immediately evacuate the airplane.

A pilot standing nearby said that a large ball of fire enveloped the hydrant truck and much of the airplane's left wing; he said the heat was very intense. He yelled to another person to call the fire department. He ran to assist a maintenance-engineer in retrieving a large fire extinguisher bottle. The fire department received the call at 1714, arrived at the scene at 1717, and immediately extinguished the fire.

Several civilian witnesses, inside the concourse, made the following observations: the first witness observed "men refueling [the airplane]. I saw the hose fly up and a spray covered the vehicle (looked like a jet of water). I then saw a small fire followed by a large ball of fire engulf the vehicle. Various people were running away from the vehicle as the fire continued to grow." She said she then thought there was some "smoke" or vapor before the fire started. She recalled the fire starting from the truck, but could not be specific whether it was from the basket or the body of the vehicle. A second witness "noticed that there was a spray of clear fluid coming from around where the people were refueling the jet. I thought it could be water, but noticed a number of the people running away and then thought it must be fuel. Shortly afterwards (1 second?) I saw the fuel explode and engulf the truck and engine of the plane." During a second interview in England, this witness recalled, "seeing the fire first in the basket, then down onto the truck. The fireball then enveloped the fuel truck, then the refueler, then up below the wing to the engine with an orange flame."

A third witness said, "I was watching the servicing of British Airlines Flight 2019, when suddenly [I] saw a huge fountain of liquid (presume jet fuel) in the air followed by a huge ball of flame. As they were refueling the aircraft, I would think either the hose ruptured or the coupling failed." A fourth witness said, "I saw a flash, followed by an expanding fireball. After taking cover, it appeared the refueling stand behind [the] port wing was on fire." A fifth witness said, "[the] fire started ground."

Additional witnesses said, "I saw that [the] engine explode, fire was coming from the engine." Another said, "explosion either from the engine or right in front of the engine. The fire was surrounding the engine and wing. The fire was also spreading on the ground." Another said, "I saw what appeared to be smoke coming from the engine whilst the re-fueling truck was re-fueling. There was a sudden flash and the truck [and the] engine was engulfed in flames." Another said, the fire started from the top of the wing and came down. Another said, "I looked up to see flames that looked like they were coming from the engine on the right side. Then it looked like a truck or something behind the engine was also on fire." And one more said, "The left outboard engine was

National Transportation Safety Board	NTSB ID: DEN01FA157	
FACEUAL REPORT	Occurrence Date: 09/05/2001	
AVIATION ETYBOR	Occurrence Type: Accident	

suddenly engulfed in flames. Could see a fuel truck behind this engine. Flame appeared to spread over more of the A.C in the vicinity of the left outboard engine. Fuel was burning on the ground."

According to a British Airways representative, the 26 individuals still on-board the airplane at the time of the accident were evacuated through the jetway without incident.

#### PERSONNEL INFORMATION

Aircraft Service International Group (ASIG) hired the refueler on October 14, 1997. ASIG records indicate that he had received training and was qualified to refuel 17 different aircraft for 10 different airlines (he was qualified on the Boeing 777 on April 27, 1999; it was not determined how many Boeing 777s he had actually fueled). He had refueled one previous airplane (a Lufthansa A340-313X), on the afternoon of the accident, using hydrant truck number 9417. He completed that refueling approximately 30 minutes before the accident. At the time of the accident, the refueler was wearing a cotton shirt, and pants made of 65 percent polyester and 35 percent cotton.

The first maintenance-engineer (with 24 years of aviation maintenance experience) said, in a Denver Police Department interview, regarding the ASIG fueler, "He is generally not the one assigned to that plane, I believe." The second maintenance-engineer (with approximately 30 years of aviation maintenance experience) said regarding the fueler, "He wasn't one of the normal guys; I haven't seen him very often."

According to the Denver Police Department, the refueler was 5 feet 11 inches tall, and weighed 160 pounds. The refueler died from his injuries on September 11, 2001. He was 24 years old.

#### AIRCRAFT/VEHICLE INFORMATION

#### General Information about the Airplane

The airplane, a Boeing 777-236, was a twin engine, turbofan aircraft with a maximum gross takeoff weight of 590,000 pounds, and was manufactured in 1998. At the time of the accident, there were 359 similar aircraft in use worldwide, of which British Airways operated 44. The airplane's flight deck seats four, and an additional 14 cabin crew positions were located in the cabin area along with seats for a maximum of 267 passengers. Two General Electric Model GE-90 engines powered the airplane with a maximum takeoff thrust at Denver, Colorado, of 90,000 pounds each. The GE-90 engines were suspended by pylons from each wing, and their outer cowling dimension was 13.3 feet in diameter at their greatest point. A representative from British Airways said that at the time of the accident, the airplane had completed approximately 2,100 cycles, and had approximately 14,000 flight hours. He said that the airplane's records suggest that the airplane had been refueled approximately 2,000 times.

#### Airplane's Fuel System

The airplane was equipped with three fuel tanks, with a maximum capacity of 45,200 gallons of fuel. There were two fueling stations, one on the leading edge of each wing. Both stations contained two refueling adapters, but there was only one refueling control panel and it was located at the left wing refueling station.

The under-wing refueling panel was located approximately 43 feet outboard of the centerline of the aircraft, or 64 inches outboard horizontally from the engine. The refueling panel on the Boeing 777 was originally designed to be approximately 50 feet outboard of the centerline (approximately 19 feet from the ground), to place it further from the engine. Because the Boeing 777's wing is one of the highest from the ground in the industry, the original location for the refueling panel would have required refueling-hydrant trucks to be supplementally stabilized with outriggers to

TRANSP National Transportation Safety Board	NTSB ID: DEN01FA157	
FACTUAL REPORT	Occurrence Date: 09/05/2001	
AV LATION ETYBOP	Occurrence Type: Accident	

meet American National Standards Institute, ANSI/SIA A92.7 (Airline Ground Support Vehicle-Mounted Lift Devices) requirements. To avoid the need for outriggers on refueling-hydrant trucks, the refueling panel was moved 13 feet inboard, to its present location, which is approximately 17 feet 6 inches from the ground.

The airplane's fueling manifold system provide four single-point connections (two on each wing), each equipped with a three-lug adapter ring for attaching the refueling nozzle. The adapter ring geometry is an industry standard specified in MS24484. The adapter rings on the B-777 are made from a copper, nickel, and aluminum alloy (C95500; aluminum-bronze), heat treated for strength enhancement. The adapter rings had a machined shear groove, which was designed to fail in case a refueler drives away with the nozzles still attached to the airplane. The adapter's design was meant to prevent leaks by protecting the airplane's fuel system during a mechanical overload. At the time of the accident, the airplane was equipped with its original refueling adapter rings.

#### Ground Fuel Supply and Dispensing

Fuel (aviation Jet A) from Denver International Airport's fuel farm currently flows south towards the three east-west passenger concourses in four 20-inch in diameter pipes at 185 psi (pounds per square inch). Two pipes are on the east side of the concourses, and two are on the west side. One pipe from each pair services the north side of the concourses and the other the south side. Only the east side was in operation on the day of the accident. The distribution pipes that travel parallel to the concourses are 16-inch pipes and narrow down to 14-inch pipes, and have a static pressure of 150 psi. Each airplane-parking gate has a subsurface pit hydrant, which is fed by a 6-inch pipe at 120 psi. During refueling operations, pit hydrant pressure may vary from 80 to 120 psi. Current fuel demands at Denver International Airport require only 4 of their 16 fuel pumps (located at the fuel farm) to move an estimated 1 million gallons of fuel per day. The fuel distribution system is designed to provide uniform pressures at all of the gate pit hydrants and to dissipate fuel pressure surges, which are created by multiple starts and stops of refueling operations.

The hydrant dispenser, mounted on a 1999 Ford F550 chassis (ASIG #9417; total miles on the odometer, 1,024), provided final filtering, metering, and pressure control for fuel entering an airplane. The truck was powered by a 7.3L turbocharged diesel engine. The hydrant dispenser was constructed to reach the Boeing 777 refueling station, which at 17 feet 6 inches is the highest in the commercial aviation fleet. The chassis and cab met standard automotive design criteria. The muffler was located under the passenger's seat, and its tail pipe was directed towards the right side of the cab. The hydrant dispenser, mounted on the truck's rear chassis, met all National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) standards. The lift platform was located directly behind the cab.

The hydrant dispenser's components, including the hydraulically actuated lift platform, filter, valves, meter, and hoses were constructed and assembled at Tampa, Florida during April and May 2001. The completed vehicle was shipped to Denver, Colorado, on May 9, 2001, and went into service on May 22, 2001. The vehicle was inspected daily, and a more extensive inspection was accomplished every 30 days in accordance with the requirements of the Air Transport Association 103 standard. The last 30-day inspection occurred on August 24, 2001.

The hydrant dispenser was equipped with two vertical cylinder pressure surge protectors, which led to a 250-gallon filter vessel. The dispenser had a maximum rated flow capability of 755 gallons per minute (gpm). The last non-restricted flow test of the hydrant dispenser was on August 24, 2001, and had a maximum flow of 540 gpm, with a nozzle pressure of 38 psi. Down stream from the filter was a Jac-Riser hose assembly, which provided the flexibility needed for the 4 foot by 7 foot lift platform to move up and down. The lift platform had two swivel fuel manifolds that delivered pressurized fuel to two 10-foot long Goodyear Wingcraft 2 1/2-inch (inner diameter) aircraft fueling hoses (type c, grade 2). According to representatives of the BF Goodrich Company, the hoses met or exceeded the requirements of American Petroleum Institute no. 1529 and National

ARANSP National Transportation Safety Board	NTSB ID: DEN01FA157	
FACTUAL REPORT	Occurrence Date: 09/05/2001	
<b>ÁVIATIQŇ</b> ETYBOŇ	Occurrence Type: Accident	

Fire Protection Association no. 407 specifications. The hydrant dispenser, including all hoses, valves, and filter vessel, had an estimated static fuel capacity of 400 gallons.

The two hoses were equipped with nozzles and ferrules in March 2001. These hoses had a strength test rating of 20,000 pounds and were strength test rated (ferrule to ferrule) at approximately 1,600 to 1,800 pounds. Their outer covers were electrically semi-conductive. The assembled hoses were hydrostatically tested to 200 percent (600 psi) of their maximum 300 psi operating limit. Each hose weighed 17.2 pounds (1.72 pounds/foot), and contained 17.1 pounds (.255 gallons/foot; 6.7 pounds/gallon) of fuel. Each nozzle and hardware weighed 15.5 pounds, which brought the total operating weight of each hose to approximately 50 pounds.

Ground Refueler Controls and Refueling Procedures

According to a United Airlines Fuel Technical Services Senior Staff Engineer, when positioning a hydrant dispenser truck next to a Boeing 777, it is important to orient the truck in such a way as to maximize the available hose length. This is done by making sure that the lift platform's refueling manifolds are located directly under the airplane's refueling panel and that the airplane's refueling panel is located inside the parameters of the lift platform, i.e., inside the lift platform's railing. Additionally, he said, correct truck positioning would minimize the possibility of the refueling hose hooking on something.

The United Airlines refueling instructor said that he teaches the refuelers to "lift the platform as close as they can to the airplane's wing. Do this because the hose and nozzle are so heavy, that to reach higher than your head is very difficult." He said that he teaches them to "always lower the platform some (12 to 36 inches), before initiating fuel flowfor physical comfort reasons."

The refueler controlled the fuel flow with a dead-man switch, which needed to be held continuously The compressed air lines, which come from the switch, activated three open for fuel to flow. The first valve was an on-off valve located on the subsurface pit hydrant. The second valves. valve was the coupler valve, that connected the hydrant dispenser to the pit hydrant. The coupler valve provided both shutoff and pressure control functions. The normal fuel pressure differential (pressure drop), from the pit hydrant through the hydrant dispenser to the nozzles, was 60 to 80 psi. The third valve was an inline valve, located down stream from the filter, and it was also a combination valve which controlled on-off flow as well as fuel pressure. The control valves could be set to deliver a maximum fuel pressure of 50 psi at the nozzles [The Boeing pressure refueling guide cautions refuelers to not use more than 55 psi, because using more than this pressure could cause damage to the airplane's refueling system components]. The three valves opened sequentially, and each took 18 to 24 seconds to activate. Stabilized fuel flow is normally achieved through the whole hydrant dispenser system in 1 to 1.5 minutes.

At the beginning of each refueling, the stabilized flow rate is approximately 500 to 540 gpm, decreasing to an estimated 200 gpm as the airplane's tanks fill. The hydrant dispenser valves are capable of closing in 3 to 5 seconds. The industry standard allows up to a 5 percent overrun of the established flow rate to perform an emergency shut down.

During normal refueling operations, the truck's engine is left running to provide compressed air and hydraulic pressure for the lift platform.

### METEOROLOGICAL INFORMATION

At 1720, the weather conditions at Denver International Airport (elevation 5,431 feet), were as follows: wind from the southwest at 12 miles per hour (mph), gusts to 16 mph; temperature 85 degrees Fahrenheit; relative humidity 26 percent; runway 17L surface temperature 104 degrees Fahrenheit. The estimated high temperature for the day was 89 degrees Fahrenheit, at 1500.

TRANSP National Transportation Safety Board	NTSB ID: DEN01FA157	
FACTUAL REPORT	Occurrence Date: 09/05/2001	
AVIATION	Occurrence Type: Accident	
Narrative (Continued)		

#### WRECKAGE AND IMPACT INFORMATION

The airplane was found parked on the ramp at Gate A-37, on a heading of 175 degrees. The hydrant dispenser truck was under the left wing, facing aft. Damage to the airplane was limited to thermal damage to the lower composite leading edge panels, the refueling control panel, outboard portions of the left engine fan cowl and thrust reverser. The hydrant truck received more fire damage on its right side (the wind was from left to right), burning tires, hoses, and damaging many other components. The engine compartment was only lightly sooted in a few places. The exhaust pipe, leading to the muffler was discolored; it was caramel in color.

The hydrant dispenser system's fuel flow meter read 176 gallons. The refueling lift platform's two swivel fuel manifolds were located on the 4-foot wide right side of the lift platform. The hose attached to the upper manifold was found still attached to the airplane's outboard refueling adapter. The hose attached to the lower swivel fuel manifold had separated from the airplane's inboard refueling adapter ring, and was found dangling over the front upper railing of the lift platform between the truck's cab and the elevated lift platform. The three lugs from the airplane's refueling adapter ring were found separated and located inside the fuel hose nozzle's locking collar. The nozzle's locking collar displayed three equally spaced deformations that matched the lugs from the adapter ring.

#### TESTS AND RESEARCH

Beginning September 10, 2001, both the failed refueling inboard adapter ring and its adjacent outboard adapter ring were examined at the Boeing Company in Renton, Washington, under the supervision of an NTSB investigator. One of the first tests performed was the axial loading of the adjacent (outboard) refueling adapter. The test was stopped after one of its three lugs failed at 9,616 pounds. Additional test results on both adapter rings included the following: Both adapter rings chemical compositions, ultimate tensile strengths, and hardness values all were found to be within specification limits. Macroscopically, there was no visible evidence of pre-existing damage to any of the failed (accident) lugs. The cadmium plating was removed from all parts of both rings, and a fluorescent penetrant inspection of the parts revealed no anomalies (flaws or cracks). The failed adapter ring's six attachment flanges were found to be "slightly" bent up 0.005 to 0.002 inches.

Boeing Materials Technology's (BMT) engineering report states: "Optical and scanning electron microscopy confirmed the three fractures on part 1 [failed adapter ring] initiated and propagated by ductile separation. No indications of slow growth crack mechanisms or corrosion were observed." NTSB metallurgists reviewed the complete reports.

The original Boeing engineering drawing for the adapter rings, Sweeney Drawing C56-2510 revision E, dated 14 June 1993, specifies that the adapter rings shall be made from an aluminum-bronze heat treated material (C95500 per Federal Specification QQ-C-390B). A Boeing representative stated that QQ-C-390B requires that C95500 meet compositional and mechanical requirements only, and not all metallurgical characteristics, i.e., stress-strain curves, microstructure, nor phase ratios, must be identical.

For example, the stress-strain curves of the accident adapter ring and its adjacent adapter ring did vary in profile. According to a metallurgist with the National Transportation Safety Board: "Although exhibiting the same features, the detailed shapes of stress strain curves are affected by many factors, including alloy, temperature, test machine setup and operation, microstructure, and other factors."

Additionally, the BMT engineering report states that the inboard and outboard adapter rings were observed metallographically to have a different subgrain structure. The inboard adapter was

National Transportation Safety Board	NTSB ID: DEN01FA157	
FACTUAL REPORT	Occurrence Date: 09/05/2001	
AVIATION	Occurrence Type: Accident	

composed of beta phase grains outlined with a light-etching, copper-rich alpha phase; whereas, the outboard adapter was predominately beta phase without the grain boundary outlining alpha phase.

The United Kingdom's Air Accidents Investigation Branch (AAIB) investigator, who attended the initial BMT laboratory studies stated, "the failure surfaces of the 'sister' adaptor ring were examined under an optical microscope and were visibly different in surface texture [microstructure] to those of the fracture surfaces from the 'accident' adaptor ring. The actual fracture characteristics and angles were very similar between the 'sister' ring and the 'accident' ring."

The American Society for Metals (ASM) reference book, ASM Specialty Handbook: Copper and Copper Alloys, describes C95500 microstructure as follows:

"As-cast or annealed structures consist of alpha crystals plus kappa precipitates. Small quantities of metastable beta may exist. Heat-treated structures consist of tempered beta martensite with very fine reprecipitated alpha needles and kappa precipitates. Some undissolved equiaxed alpha crystals may be evident, depending on the actual composition and thermal history."

Engineering Systems, Inc. (ESI), a firm contracted by ASIG, also examined the two adapter rings. ESI reported that both adapter rings met chemical analysis, hardness testing, and ultimate strength requirements for Federal Specifications QQ-C-390B and the ASTM specifications. They did find an "overall markedly different appearance between the microstructure of the material from the inboard (Part 1) and outboard (Part 2) refueling flanges." They described the microstructure of the inboard adapter as "a well defined grain structure of martensitic beta phase outlined by distinct boundaries of alpha phase." They described the outboard adapter's microstructure as "exclusively a martensitic beta phase." ESI said "the presence of alpha phase grain boundaries indicates that the inboard refueling flange was either not heat treated or heat treated at too low of a temperature, too short a time or not quenched properly."

BMT performed several follow-up tests with material from the inboard adapter, the outboard adapter, and C95500 plate material, under Safety Board supervision. They heated samples from the inboard adapter and plate material to "erase" the effects of any previous heat-treating, which resulted in as-cast conditioning of the samples. They re-heat treated them using ASTM B 148-93 (C95500 compositional and mechanical requirements subsequent to QQ-C-390B) suggested heat treatment procedures, plus several variations. According to BMT, these experiments demonstrated that "there are a substantial number of microstructures that can result from the different heat treatment parameters and chemical compositions allowed per QQ-C-390B [and subsequent ASTM B 148-93]. Equilibrium and metastable phase diagrams further show the complexity of C95500. The as-received part 1 [failed adapter] microstructure can likely be produced only by a very similar composition and heat treatment." Additionally, BMT stated that these tests verified that the as-received inboard adapter was a product of heat treatment.

BMT cut two notched flat test coupons from each adapter ring to evaluate ultimate and yield strength properties. They demonstrated that the ultimate tensile strength of all four samples exceeded the requirements of QQ-C-390B. Although BMT initially reported yield strength values for the samples, BMT later stated that these values were not reliable. According to Boeing representatives, "Due to the limited material available and resulting small test coupons, the yield strength [and elongation measurements] of the adapter could not be reliably determined."

ESI acquired a copy of BMT's original yield strength test results; they were 51.5 and 52.7 ksi for Part 1, and 77.8 and 71.9 ksi for Part 2. According to reports written by ESI and submitted to the Safety Board, the ESI reports stated that the failed adapter ring yield strength results were below the QQ-C-390B required specification of 60 ksi. They further stated that "the ASTM specifications define the yield strength as the stress producing an elongation under load of 0.5 percent. Using the stress-strain curves from the BMT tensile tests, the yield strengths were recalculated to be 33.8 ksi for specimen 1A and 32.9 ksi for specimen 1B. These yield strengths are significantly

National Transportation Safety Board	NTSB ID: DEN01FA157	
FACEUAL REPORT	Occurrence Date: 09/05/2001	
AVIATION ETYBON	Occurrence Type: Accident	
Narrative (Continued)		

below the mechanical requirements specified by the ASTM standard."

BMT's yield strength testing procedures followed American Society for Testing Materials (ASTM) publication E8-03 guidelines. The four notched flat test coupons did not meet the size or shape recommended in E8-03, because of the limited material available in the adapter rings. A BMT metallurgist said that resultant shape of the test coupons required that a stress concentration factor (Kt) of 1.2 be assigned. Additionally, BMT used the offset 0.2 percent method in determining yield strength, because this followed the ASTM E8-03, section 7.7.1, note 28, recommended referee method. A BMT representative said "the measured properties of such specimens [from the accident adapter ring] will differ from properties measured in a standard specimen by some factor X. X will not necessarily be equal to Kt but will likely fall between 1.0 and Kt. Therefore it would not be appropriate to simply multiply measured properties by Kt before comparing to reference standards. The exact Kts for the accident specimens were never calculated. A Boeing representative said: "Although the exact heat treat lot of the [accident] adapter ring material was not established, a review of production records for the adapters found that all candidate lots had a yield strength in excess of the specification requirements." Safety Board metallurgists reviewed BMT's data and reports.

Boeing stated that the airplane's fueling manifold system was designed for 120 psi working pressure, 240-psi proof pressure and 360 psi burst pressure. Deformations in aircraft refueling manifold systems have been noted at 150 to 180 psi. Boeing published a refueling pressure limit of 50 psi; however, Boeing stated that momentary fuel pressure surges of 80 to 100 psi are common during refueling. Boeing calculated that if direct fuel pressure were to cause the failure, a fuel pressure of approximately 1,360 psi would be required to generate the 9,616 pounds of force required to fail the lugs.

No material deformations were identified within the airplane's fueling manifold system.

The airplane's refueling manifold port, with adapter ring, was designed with a 12.5-degree forward orientation from vertical. BMT performed vertical pull tests on new production refueling adapters.

Their test results were consistent with the circumstance that, collectively, the three nozzle attachment lugs can support an excess of 10,000 pounds. At the request of the NTSB, BMT laboratory calculated the adapter ring lug load capability for cases in which loads were applied from 0 to 90 degrees measured from the nozzle centerline. The testing load was applied 20 inches below the adapter lug plane to accommodate the refueling nozzle, its ferrules, and the rigidity of the hose. Calculations from these tests indicated that the adapter ring's weight bearing capability dropped-off as the off center angle increased. The results of the calculations were checked against tests conducted at 0 and 90 degrees with agreement. At 30 degrees of load application, all nozzle attachment variations failed below 1,000 pounds of load.

The relative position of the hydrant dispenser truck and its lift platform to the airplane's refueling panel was derived from two studies that were conducted subsequent to the accident. These two studies were reviewed by Safety Board investigators, and consisted of the following:

(1) A photogrammetric study, by Engineering Systems Inc. (contracted by ASIG), using all available photographs was performed, which positioned the hydrant dispenser truck to the airplane. Their report, dated September 19, 2002, gave a precise depiction of the airplane's left wing fueling control panel relative to the refueler's lift platform. This study indicates that the inboard refueling point was outside of the railing, on the left side of the lift platform, and just forward of the aft terminus of the lift platform.

(2) Photogrammetric work by Boeing indicates that the bottom of the lift platform, at the time of the accident, was 91 inches above the ground. The floor construction material was approximately 3 inches thick, add the 91 inches (total of 94 inches) and the lift platform floor would have been approximately 9 feet 8 inches below the refueling control panel. This study also documented the

National Transportation Safety Board	NTSB ID: DEN01FA157	
FACTUAL REPORT	Occurrence Date: 09/05/2001	
AVIATION ETYBON	Occurrence Type: Accident	

top of the railing of the lift platform, which was 135.2 inches above the ground (or 75 inches below the refueling panel).

The distance of the floor of the lift platform from the refueling panel was additionally documented from still pictures which were made from a Denver Fire Department video camera which was mounted on its lead fire truck. These pictures show an ASIG employee, along with a Denver fireman, climbing a ladder to enter the lift platform after the fire was put out. The 6 foot 1 inch tall employee is shown standing on the lift platform's middle railing (23 inches above the floor) and reaching up to disconnect the outboard refueling hose. The 6 foot 4 inch tall fireman (with boots and hat on) is seen bracing the employee with his hands; the top of his hat is shown to be level with the ASIG employee's heart. A Safety Board review of the pictures revealed that the distance from the ASIG employee's heart to the top of his head was approximately 18 inches, and his head was between 20 to 22 inches below the refueling panel. These numbers add up to 9 feet 8 inches, and approximately replicates the Boeing study.

Due to the refueler's height (5 feet 11 inches), and the weight of the refueling equipment, these studies provide data that is consistent with the refueler positioning the platform closer to the airplane while attaching the nozzles and then lowered the platform to the position it was found in after he connected the refueling nozzles to the airplane's refueling adapters.

A white mark, triangular in shape (approximately 115 degrees), was found by ESI on the inboard (accident) refueling hose. A Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Inspector, from Tampa, Florida (the refueling truck had been moved to Tampa International Airport), along with ASIG personnel, reattached the refueling hose to its original refueling manifold, in March 2003. The team determined that when the rubber hose was reattached, the white mark was located 73 inches from the hose's attachment to the lower swivel manifold, and the white mark was approximately 8 inches short of aligning with the lift platform's left forward protective corner bumper (approximately 81 inches). The FAA Inspector stated that the marking was "consistent with the general shape of the bumper." Subsequently, he had the bumper removed from the lift platform's railing. He stated the following: "The marking was consistent with [the] area around the bottom of the cushion in dimension and thickness. When the cushion was minimally distorted by hand, the bottom area was consistent with the mark on the hose assembly."

At the NTSB's request, The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company performed a stretch analysis test on an exemplar refueling hose. They determined that approximately 380 pounds of force (11.6 percent stretch) was required to stretch the 69 inches of rubber hose (minus ferrules) 8 inches.

The photogrammetric studies provided the point in space where the left forward railing's corner was (in relation to the airplane) and the point in space where the 20 inch extended adapter centerline was at the time of the accident. Graphic, geometric calculations were produced by the NTSB. The calculated angle (from the lift platform's left forward railing corner (with protruding protective guard) to the adapter's extended centerline) indicate that an approximate 52 degree off-axis load would have been applied to the adapter ring if the lift platform had been lowered. At this angle, the adapter ring lug's failure limits would have been between 350 and 700 pounds of load. This calculated load would have increased an unknown amount during the pressurization of the refueling hose, with the commencement of refueling.

Subsequent to this accident, another study was performed by Dukes Transportation Services, Inc. (a maker of aircraft refueling hydrant trucks) for Exxon-Mobil and American Airlines. They attached an electronic "fish-scale (rated to 5,000 pounds)" vertically to two differently designed hydrant trucks, which were designed to service B-777 aircraft. The refueling lift platforms were slowly lowered until the suspended scale supported all of the platform weight. Several test variations were performed, and the results were consistently between 1,000 and 1,200 pounds (without fuel in the hoses or their manifolds). The Safety Board received a copy of the test results, which are included as attachments to this report.

National Transportation Safety Board	NTSB ID: DEN01FA157
FACTUAL REPORT	Occurrence Date: 09/05/2001
AVIATION ETYBON	Occurrence Type: Accident
Narrative (Continued)	

The Safety Board requested the assistance of Wright-Patterson Air Force Base's Materials Integrity Branch in Ohio, to determine if they could attribute the white angular mark found on the fuel hose to the white plastic bumper from the lift platform railing by means of microscope based Fourier Transform Infrared spectrometry and micro X-Ray Fluorescence. The lead investigator for the laboratory said, after looking at the hose and the original photographs, that "the contact mark observed was not as pronounced as that shown in the submitted figures [photographs]." The hose had been shipped several times (unprotected), before it arrived at the laboratory.

The June 5, 2003, Materials Integrity Branch laboratory report states that "no evidence was identified to indicate the specified contact mark was formed due to contact with the bumper." The report further states that "contact may have occurred without leaving any evidence (i.e., material transfer or abrasion). This last possibility is made more plausible by the relative toughness and abrasion resistance of the bumper material."

The corner bumper guard also exhibited areas of a black transferred material with a chalky texture. Tests were not performed on this material. Additionally, some parallel abrasions were observed at one edge of the corner bumper guard between the outer and lower surfaces.

Observations and research by Safety Board investigators revealed that hydrant trucks can vary significantly in their design. There are no national or international standards for aircraft refueling equipment or procedures governing refueling operations. Both the equipment and the procedures vary from operator to operator, airport to airport, and oil company to oil company.

The Handbook of Aviation Fuel Properties, states that the auto ignition temperature (AIT) of Jet A kerosene grade turbine fuel (1 atmosphere) is 238 degrees C (460 degrees F). At this temperature, Jet A fuel spontaneously ignites under laboratory conditions without a spark or flame. Jet A fuel vapor has a flash ignition point (based on the elevation at the accident site, of 5,431 feet) of between 114 to 120 degrees F. At this temperature, Jet A fuel vapor will ignite under laboratory conditions given an adequate ignition source. By contrast, according to the Chief Scientific and Technical Advisor to the FAA for Fuel System Design, atomized Jet A fuel (a mist cloud of suspended liquid particles with a sphere of vapor around it) will ignite at approximately 60 degrees Fahrenheit with the same atmospheric conditions, and an open flame or spark. He said that determining the source of ignition is difficult with this type of situation. If a mist cloud is ignited, the flame propagation path is initially very lean (excess air) and all of the fuel is consumed leaving no unburned carbon as evidence.

Two companies have introduced modifications to help position hydrant dispenser trucks during single person operations. One company has introduced a light under the lift platform, pointing straight up, which reported aids in night operations. Another company is beginning to install sunroofs in the cabs of their trucks so that the driver can see the refueling station location. Additionally, industry groups such as the International Aviation Transportation Association (IATA), Aviation Fuel Working Group (AFWG) and the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Technical Committee of Aircraft Fueling are examining the need for changes to existing industry standards and practices. The AFWG has formed a Fuel Safety Task Force for this purpose.

Safety Board investigators could not identify another accident similar to this accident (in which the adapter ring failed while under full refueling flow, and the nozzle completely separated from the airplane).

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The airplane, including all components and logbooks, was released to a British Airways representative on September 8, 2001. The refueling truck was released to the Aircraft Service International Group on January 29, 2002.

National Transportation Safety Boar	NTSB I	NTSB ID: DEN01FA157									
FACTUAL REPORT		Occurre	ence Date:	09/05/2001							
AVIATION		Occurr	ence Type	Accident							
Landing Facility/Approach Inform											
Airport Name		A	Airport ID: Airport Elevation Runway Used Runway Len						ay Length	n Ru	nway Width
Denver International Airport		C	DEN 5431 Ft. MSL						, ,		
Runway Surface Type: Unknown		I									
Runway Surface Condition: Unknown											
Type Instrument Approach: Unknown											
VFR Approach/Landing: Unknown											
Aircraft Information											
Aircraft Manufacturer Boeing			Model/ 777-2	/Series 236					Serial N 28840	Number )	
Airworthiness Certificate(s): Transport											
Landing Gear Type: Retractable - Trie	cycle										
Homebuilt Aircraft? No Nur	nber of Seats: 2	285	Certified Max Gross Wt.				590000	LBS	Number	r of Engin	es: 2
Engine Type: Turbo Fan	Engine Manufacturer: General Electric				Model/Ser GE-90	ries:		Ra 9	ated Power: 0000 LBS		
- Aircraft Inspection Information											
Type of Last Inspection			Date of Last Inspection Time Since Last Inspection				· · · ·	Airframe	Total Time		
AAIP							Ho	ours		11221 Hours	
- Emergency Locator Transmitter (ELT)	Information										
ELT Installed? No	ELT Operate	d? No			ELT Aid	led ir	n Locating Ac	cident S	Site? No		
Owner/Operator Information											
Registered Aircraft Owner			Street A	Adress P.O. Box	10 Heat	throw	w Airport				
British Airways			City						State	Zip Code	
		Hounslow Middlewsex TW6 2JA									
Operator of Aircraft			Same as Reg'd Aircraft Owner								
Same as Reg'd Aircraft Owner		City						State	Zip Code		
Operator Does Business As:			Operator Designator Code:								
- Type of U.S. Certificate(s) Held:											
Air Carrier Operating Certificate(s): For	eign Operatior	n									
Operating Certificate:				Operator 0	Certificate:						
Regulation Flight Conducted Under: Pa	art 129: Foreigi	n									
Type of Flight Operation Conducted: Sc	cheduled; Inter	rnationa	ıl; Passen	ger Only							
	F	FACTU	AL REPO	RT - AVIAT	ON.						Page 2

National Transportation Safety Board NTSB ID: DEN01FA1						157								
F	ACTUAL RI	PORT		Occurren	ce Date: 09	/05/200	)1		1					
	Ανίατι			Occurren					-					
	ETYBO	A		Occurrent	ce Type. Ac	cident								
First Pilo	ot Information													
Name						City	Ity State Date of Birth					te of Birth	Age	
On File						е					0	n File	41	
Sex: M         Seat Occupied: Left         Principal Profession: Civilian Pilot         Certificate Number: On File														
Certificate	(s): Airlir	ne Transpor	t; Foreign											
Airplane Rating(s): Multi-engine Land; Single-engine Land														
Rotorcraft/Glider/LTA: None														
Instrument	t Rating(s): Airpl	ane												
Instructor Rating(s): None														
Type Rating/Endorsement for Accident/Incident Aircraft? Yes Current Biennial Flight Review? 03/2001														
Medical Cert.: Class 1         Medical Cert. Status: Valid Medicalno waivers/lim.         Date of Last Medical Exam: 05/2001														
- Flight Tir	me Matrix	All A/C	This Make and Model	Airplane Single Engine	Airplane Mult-Engine	Night		lı Actual	istrument Sim	ulated	Rotore	raft	Glider	Lighter Than Air
Total Time	Э	12200	1600	190	12000	;	3500	110	11000					
Pilot In Co	ommand(PIC)	1600	1600	160	1600		700	14	1400					
Instructor														
Last 90 Da	ays	85	85		85		30		75					
Last 30 Da	ays	35	35		35		30		75					
Last 24 Ho	ours	10	10		10	<u> </u>			9			1		
Seatbelt U	Ised? No	Shou	ulder Harnes	s Used? No			Toxico	ology Per	ormed?	No		Seco	ond Pilot? Ye	S
Flight Pla	an/Itinerary													
Type of Fli	ight Plan Filed: IF	R												
Departure	Point						State Air			irport Identifier			Departure Time Tim	
London							G		GKK		07	0713		MDT
Destination	n						State	Δ	virnort Identifier				I	
Same as	Accident/Incide	ent Location					Claro	)EN						
Type of Cl	learance: IFR										•			
Type of Ai	rspace: Unkno	wn												
Weather	Information													
Source of	Briefing: Compa	any												
Method of	Briefing: In Pers	son; Teleph	one											
				FACTUAI	REPORT	- AVI		J						Page 3

Courrence Date: 09/05/2001           Occurrence Date: 09/05/2001           Occurrence Type: Accident           Weather Information         Time Zone         WOF Elevation         WOF Distance From Accident Site         Direction From Accident Site           BKF         1656         MDT         5431 FL MSL         Vor Flag         B NM         150 Deg. Mag.           SkyLowest Cloud Condition: Scatteret         25000 FL AGL         Visibility:         40         SM         Attimeter:         29.96         'Hg           Temperature:         28 °C         Dew Point:         2 °C         Wind Direction: 210         Density Altitude:         FL           Visibility (RVR):         FL         Visibility (RVV)         SM         Intensity of Precipitation:         Type           Accident Information           Accident Information           Accident Precipitation:         None           OTAL         Aircraft Explosion None           Classification: Foreign Registered/U.S. Soil           Aircraft Second Plat         Aircraft Explosion None           Classification: Foreign Registered/U.S. Soil           Field         Aircraft Explosion None	National Transportation Safety Board NTSB ID: DEN01FA157												
Occurrence Type: Accident           Weather Information         Time Zone         WOF Elevation Time         Time Zone         WOF Elevation         WOF Distance From Accident Site         Direction From Accident Site           BKF         1656         MDT         5431 FL MSL         6500 FL AGL         Condition of Light: Day           Lowest Cloud Condition: Scattered         25000 FL AGL         Visibility: 40         SM         Attimeter:         29.96         "Hig           Temperature:         28 °C         Dew Point:         2 °C         Wind Direction: 210         Density Altitude:         FL           Wind Speed:         12         Gusts:         Weather Conditions at Accident Site:         Visual Conditions         FL           Visibility (RVR):         FL         Visibility (RVV)         SM         Intensity of Precipitation:         FL           Type of Precipitation:         None         Intensity of Precipitation:         Aircraft Explosion None         Intensity of Precipitation:         Intensity of Precipitation:         Intensity FL         Inte	FA	Occurrent	Occurrence Date: 09/05/2001										
Weather Information         Time Zone         WOF Elevation         WOF Distance From Accident Site         Direction From Accident Site           BKF         1656         MDT         5431 Ft. MSL         8 NM         150 Deg. Mag.           SkyLowest Cloud Condition: Scattered         5500 Ft. AGL         Condition of Light: Day         150 Deg. Mag.           Lowest Ceiling: Broken         2 °C         Visibility:         40         SM         Attimeter:         29.96         'Hg           Temperature:         28 °C         Dew Point:         2 °C         Wind Direction: 210         Density Altitude:         Ft.           Wind Speed:         12         Gusts:         Weather Conditions at Accident Site: Visual Conditions         Type of Precipitation:         None         Type of Precipitation:         None         ToTAL           Atricraft Ere:         Atricraft Fre:         Ground         1         1         1           Student Ploit         Fatal         Serious         Minor         TOTAL         1         1           First Ploit         Fatal         Serious         Minor         TOTAL         1         1           Attraft Revolution         Fatal         Serious         Minor         1         1         1           Streart Firet P		Occurrent	Occurrence Type: Accident										
Notice monomation         Time Zone         WOF Elevation         WOF Distance From Accident Site         Direction From Accident Site           BKF         1656         MDT         5431 Ft. MSL         8 NM         150 Deg. Mag.           SkylLowest Cloud Condition: Scattered         25000 Ft. AGL         Condition of Light: Day         100 Deg. Mag.           Lowest Ceiling: Broken         28 °C         Dew Point:         2 °C         Visibility: 40         SM         Attimeter:         29.96         "Hg           Temperature:         28 °C         Dew Point:         2 °C         Wind Direction: 210         Density Altitude:         Ft.           Wind Speed:         12         Gusts:         Weather Conditions at Accident Site: Visual Conditions         Visibility: Note           Type of Precipitation:         None         Total Site: Visual Conditions         Attract Explosion None           Classification:         None         Aircraft Erre: Ground         Aircraft Explosion None           Classification:         Fatal         Serious         Minor         None         Total           - Injury Summary Matrix         Fatal         Serious         Minor         None         Total           Finght Engineer         I         I         I         I         I         I	Weather Information												
BKF       1656       MDT       5431 FL MSL       8 NM       150 Deg. Mag.         SkyLowest Cloud Condition: Scatter $2500$ FL AGL       Condition of Light: Day         Lowest Ceiling: Broken $2500$ FL AGL       SM       Attireter:       29.96       'Hg         Temperature:       28 °C       Dew Point:       2 °C       Wisibility: 40       SM       Attireter:       29.96       'Hg         Temperature:       28 °C       Dew Point:       2 °C       Wisibility: Conditions at Accident Site: Visual Conditions       Dewsity Attitude:       Ft.         Wind Speed:       12       Gusts:       WetretConditions at Accident Site: Visual Conditions       Visibility: Nore         Type of Precipitation:       NOP       Second Precipitation:       NOP       Attract Fire: Growthere: Gro	WOF ID	Observation Time	Time Zone	WOF Elevat	NOF Elevation WOF Distance From Accid						Direction From	Accident Site	e
BKF     1656     MDT     5431 Ft. MSL     8 NM     150 Deg. Mag.       Sky/Lowest Cloud Condition: Scattered     Scondition: Scattered     Condition: Scattered     Condition: Scattered     Condition: Scattered     Condition: Scattered     Condition: Scattered     SM     Altimeter:     29.96     "Hg       Temperature:     28 °C     Dew Point:     2 °C     Wind Direction: 210     Density Altitude:     Ft.       Wind Speed:     12     Gusts:     Wind VIrection: 210     Density Altitude:     Ft.       Visibility (RVR):     Ft.     Visibility (RVV)     SM     Intensity of Precipitation:     Density Altitude:     Ft.       Type of Precipitation:     None     None     Intensity of Precipitation:     None     Site     Site       Aircraft Damage:     Substantial     Aircraft Fire: Stattered     Aircraft Fire: Stattered     Aircraft Stattered     Site     Site       Free Plot     Aircraft Plot     Intensity     1     1     Second Plot     Intensity     Site     Site     Site       Ingel Information     Aircraft Stattered     Intensity     Intensity     Intensity     Site     Site     Site     Site       Ingel Information     Intensity     Intensity     Intensity     Intensity     Intensity     Site     Site </td <td></td> <td colspan="9"></td> <td></td> <td></td>													
SkylLowest Cloud Condition: Scattered       25000 Ft. AGL       Condition of Light: Day         Lowest Ceiling: Broken       25000 Ft. AGL       Visibility: 40       SM       Altimeter: 29.96       "Hg         Temperature:       28 °C       Dew Point:       2 °C       Wind Direction: 210       Density Altitude:       Ft.         Wind Speed: 12       Gusts:       Weatter Conditions at Accident Site: Visual Conditions       Density Altitude:       Ft.         Visibility (RVR):       Ft.       Visibility (RVV)       SM       Intensity of Precipitation:       Density Altitude:       Ft.         Type of Precipitation:       None       Intensity of Precipitation:       Aircraft Explosion None       Aircraft Explosion None         Classification:       Foreign Registered/U.S. Soil       Aircraft Fire: Grow       Aircraft Explosion None       Aircraft Explosion None         Classification::       Foreign Registered/U.S. Soil       Intensity of ToTAL       Aircraft Explosion None       Intensity of ToTAL         First Filod       Image:       Image:       Image:       Image:       Image:       Image:         Student Plot       Image:       Image:       Image:       Image:       Image:       Image:         Student Plot       Image:       Image:       Image:       Image: <td< td=""><td colspan="13">BKF         1656         MDT         5431 Ft. MSL         8 NM         150 Deg. Mag.</td></td<>	BKF         1656         MDT         5431 Ft. MSL         8 NM         150 Deg. Mag.												
Lowest Ceiling: Broken       25000 FL ACL       Visibility:       40       SM       Altimeter:       29.96       "Hg         Temperature:       28 °C       Image: Ceiling: Broken       2 °C       Wind Direction: 210       Density Altitude:       FL         Wind Speed: 12       Gusts:       Visibility: Work       Visibility: Work       Visibility: Visual Conditions at Accident Site: Visual Conditions         Visibility (RVR):       FL       Visibility (RVR):       Visibility: None       Intensity of Precipitation:       SM       Accident Site: Visual Conditions       Visibility: None         Type of Precipitation:       None       Aircraft Fire: Forward Site: Visual Conditions       Aircraft Elementation       Aircraft Elemen	Sky/Lowest Cloud Condition: Scattered 6500 Ft. AGL Condition of Light: Day												
Temperature:       28 °C       Der Point:       2 °C       Wind Direction: 210       Density Altitude:       Ft.         Wind Speed: 12       Gusts:       Gusts:       Visibility: Conditions at Accident Site: Visual Conditions         Visibility (RVR):       Ft.       Visibility (RVV)       SM       Intensity of Precipitation:       SM         Restrictions to Visibility:       None       SM       Intensity of Precipitation:       SM       SM         Type of Precipitation:       None       SM       Intensity of Precipitation:       None       SM         Accident Information:       Aircraft Fire: Sm       Aircraft Fire: Sm       Aircraft Explored None       SM         Classification:       Foreign Register/U.S. Soil       Minor       None       TOTAL       Aircraft Explored None         First Pilot       Fatal       Serious       Minor       None       TOTAL       SM       SM         Fight Instructor       GL       I       1       1       SM	Lowest Ce	iling: Broken		25000 Ft.	25000 Ft. AGL Visibility:			40	SM	Alti	meter:	29.96	"Hg
Wind Speed: 12Gusts:Weattree Conditions at Accident Site: Visual ConditionsVisibility (RVR):Ft.Visibility (RVV)SMIntensity of Precipitation:Restrictions to Visibility:NoneIntensity of Precipitation:NoneType of Precipitation:NoneIntensity of Precipitation:NoneAccident InformationAircraft Damage:SubstantialAircraft Fire: SubstantialAircraft Explosion NoneClassification:Foreign Registeret/U.S. SoitIntensityNoneTOTAL- Injury Summary MatrixFatalSeriusMinorTOTAL- First PlotIntensityIntensityIntensityNoneTOTALSecond PlotIntensityIntensityIntensityIntensitySudent PlotIntensityIntensityIntensityIntensityFlight InstructorIntensityIntensityIntensityFlight InstructorIntensityIntensityIntensityFlight InstructorIntensityIntensityIntensityFlight InstructorIntensityIntensityIntensityFlight InstructorIntensityIntensityIntensityFlight InstructorIntensityIntensityIntensityGabin AttendantsIntensityIntensityIntensityFlight InstructorIntensityIntensityIntensityFlight InstructorIntensityIntensityIntensityFlight InstructorIntensityIntensityIntensityFlight Instructor <td< td=""><td>Temperatu</td><td>ıre: 28 °C</td><td>Dew Point:</td><td>2 °C</td><td colspan="5">2 °C Wind Direction: 210</td><td>De</td><td>nsity Altitude:</td><td></td><td>Ft.</td></td<>	Temperatu	ıre: 28 °C	Dew Point:	2 °C	2 °C Wind Direction: 210					De	nsity Altitude:		Ft.
Visibility (RVR):       Ft.       Visibility (RVV)       SM       Intensity of Precipitation:         Restrictions to Visibility:       None       Intensity of Precipitation:       None         Accident Information         Aircraft Damage:       Substantial       Aircraft Fire: Ground       Aircraft Explosion None         Classification: Foreign Registered/U.S. Soil         - Injury Summary Matrix       Fatal       Serious       Minor       None       TOTAL         First Plot       1       1       1       1       1         Student Plot       -       1       1       1         Flight Instructor       -       -       -       -         Flight Engineer       -       1       1       1         Check Plot       -       -       -       -         Flight Engineer       -       -       1       1         Check Plot       -       1       1       1         Passengers       -       -       1       1         Passengers       -       26       26       26         Other Ground       1       1       1       1       1	Wind Spee	ed: 12	Gusts:		Weather Condtions at Accident Site:					Cond	itions		
Restrictions to Visibility: None         Type of Precipitation: None         Accident Information         Aircraft Damage: Substantial       Aircraft Fire: Ground       Aircraft Explosion None         Classification: Foreign Registered/U.S. Soil       Injury Summary Matrix       Fatal       Serious       Minor       TOTAL         - Injury Summary Matrix       Fatal       Serious       Minor       TOTAL       TOTAL         First Pilot       I       1       1       1       1         Second Pilot       I       I       1       1         Student Pilot       I       I       I       I         Flight Instructor       I       I       I       I         Check Pilot       I       I       I       I         Clabin Attendants       I       I       1       I         Passengers       I       I       1       I         Other Ground       I       I       I       I	Visibility (R	RVR): Ft.	Visibility (R	:VV)	SM	Intensity	of Precipita	ation:					
Type of Precipitation:       None         Accident Information       Aircraft Fire: Ground       Aircraft Explosion None         Aircraft Damage:       Substantial       Aircraft Fire: Ground       Aircraft Explosion None         Classification:       Foreign Registered/U.S. Soil       Aircraft Explosion None       Image: Substantial         - Injury Summary Matrix       Fatal       Serious       Minor       None       TOTAL         First Pilot       1       1       1       1       1         Second Pilot       1       1       1       1         Student Pilot       1       1       1       1         Flight Instructor       1       1       1       1         Check Pilot       1       1       1       1         Flight Engineer       1       1       1       1         Cabin Attendants       1       1       1       1         Passengers       1       1       1       1         Other Ground       1       1       1       1	Restriction	s to Visibility: None	•										
None         Accident Information         Aircraft Damage: Substantial       Aircraft Fire: Ground       Aircraft Explosion None         Classification: Foreign Registered/U.S. Soil         - Injury Summary Matrix       Fatal       Serious       Minor       None       TOTAL         First Pilot       C       1       1         Second Pilot       C       C       1       1         Student Pilot       C       C       C       C         Flight Instructor       C       C       C       C         Check Pilot       C       C       C       C         Flight Engineer       C       C       1       1         Cabin Attendants       C       C       1       1         Passengers       C       C       1       1         Passengers       C       C       1       1       1         Other Ground       1       C       26       26       26       26       26													
Aircraft Information         Aircraft Serieur Substantial       Aircraft Fire: Ground       Aircraft Explosion None         Classification: Foreign Registered/U.S. Soil         Injury Summary Matrix       Fatal       Serious       Minor       None       TOTAL         First Pilot       1       1         Serious       Minor       None       TOTAL         First Pilot       1       1         Second Pilot       1       1         Sudent Pilot       1       1         Sudent Pilot       1       1         Flight Instructor       1       1         Cabin Attendants       1       1       1         Other Crew       1       1         TOTAL ABOARD-       1       1         Toth LaboARD-       1       1         Toth LaboARD-       1       1	Type of Pre	ecipitation: None											
Accident Information         Aircraft Damage: Substantial       Aircraft Fire: Ground       Aircraft Explosion None         Classification: Foreign Registered/U.S. Soit       -       None       TOTAL         - Injury Summary Matrix       Fatal       Serious       Minor       None       TOTAL         First Pilot        1       1       1         Second Pilot         1       1         Student Pilot              Flight Instructor               Check Pilot                Gabin Attendants          1       1													
Aircraft Damage: Substantial       Aircraft Fire: Ground       Aircraft Explosion None         Classification: Foreign Registered/U.S. Soil         Injury Summary Matrix       Fatal       Serious       Minor       None       TOTAL         First Pilot        1       1       1       1       1         Second Pilot         1       1       1         Student Pilot               Flight Instructor                Clabin Attendants        1       1       1       1       1       1         Passengers         1       1       1       1       1         Other Ground       1         1       1       1       1	Accident Information												
Classification: Foreign Registered/U.S. Soil         - Injury Summary Matrix       Fatal       Serious       Minor       None       TOTAL         First Pilot       1       1       1       1         Second Pilot       1       1       1         Student Pilot       1       1       1         Flight Instructor       1       1       1         Check Pilot       1       1       1         Flight Engineer       1       1       1         Cabin Attendants       1       1       1         Other Crew       1       1       1         Passengers       1       1       1         Other Ground       1       1       1	Aircraft Dar	mage: Substantial	Aircraft Fir	e: Grou	nd			Aircraft Exp	olosio	n None			
- Injury Summary MatrixFatalSeriousMinorNoneTOTALFirst PilotI111Second PilotII11Student PilotII11Flight InstructorIIIICheck PilotIIIIFlight EngineerII1313Other CrewII11PassengersII1010-TOTAL ABOARD-III10ther Ground1II1	Classification: Foreign Registered/U.S. Soil												
First Pilot11Second Pilot11Student Pilot11Student Pilot11Flight Instructor11Check Pilot11Flight Engineer1313Other Crew11Passengers1010Other Ground11	- Injury Su	mmary Matrix	Fatal Se	erious Mino	or	None	TOTAL						
Second PilotImage: second PilotImage: second PilotStudent PilotImage: second PilotImage: second PilotFlight InstructorImage: second PilotImage: second PilotCheck PilotImage: second PilotImage: second PilotFlight EngineerImage: second PilotImage: second PilotCabin AttendantsImage: second PilotImage: second PilotOther CrewImage: second PilotImage: second PilotPassengersImage: second PilotImage: second PilotOther GroundImage: second PilotImage: seco	First Pi	lot				1	1						
Student PilotImage: student PilotImage: student PilotFlight InstructorImage: student PilotImage: student PilotCheck PilotImage: student PilotImage: student PilotFlight EngineerImage: student PilotImage: student PilotCabin AttendantsImage: student PilotImage: student PilotOther CrewImage: student PilotImage: student PilotPassengersImage: student PilotImage: student PilotOther GroundImage: student PilotImage: stude	Second	d Pilot				1	1						
Flight InstructorImage: Check PilotImage: Check PilotImage: Check PilotCheck PilotImage: Check PilotImage: Check PilotImage: Check PilotFlight EngineerImage: Check PilotImage: Check PilotImage: Check PilotCabin AttendantsImage: Check PilotImage: Check PilotImage: Check PilotCabin AttendantsImage: Check PilotImage: Check PilotImage: Check PilotOther CrewImage: Check PilotImage: Check PilotImage: Check PilotPassengersImage: Check PilotImage: Check PilotImage: Check PilotOther GroundImage: Check PilotImage: Check PilotImage: Check PilotOther GroundImage: Check PilotImage: Check PilotImage: Check Pilot	Student Pilot												
Check PilotImage: Check PilotImage: Check PilotFlight EngineerImage: Check PilotImage: Check PilotCabin AttendantsImage: Check PilotImage: Check PilotOther CrewImage: Check PilotImage: Check PilotPassengersImage: Check PilotImage: Check PilotOther GroundImage: Check PilotImage: Check PilotOther GroundImage: Check PilotImage: Check Pilot	Flight li	nstructor											
Flight EngineerImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsOther CrewImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsOther CrewImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsPassengersImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin Attendants- TOTAL ABOARD -Image: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsOther GroundImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsOther GroundImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsOther GroundImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsOther GroundImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsOther GroundImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin Attendants	Check	Pilot											
Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsOther CrewImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsPassengersImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin Attendants- TOTAL ABOARD -Image: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsOther GroundImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsOther GroundImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin AttendantsImage: Cabin Attendants	Flight E	Ingineer											
Other Crew         1         1           Passengers         10         10           - TOTAL ABOARD -         26         26           Other Ground         1         1	Cabin A	Attendants				13	13						
Passengers         Image: Marcology of the sense of	Other C	Crew				1	1						
- TOTAL ABOARD -         26         26           Other Ground         1         1         1	Passen	ngers				10	10						
Other Ground 1 1 1	- TOTAL A	ABOARD -				26	26						
	Other G	Ground	1				1	1					
- GRAND TOTAL - 1 26 27	- GRAND	D TOTAL -	1			26	27						
				FACTUAL	REPO	RT - AV	IATION					F	age 4

National Transportation Safety Board	NTSB ID: DEN01FA157	
FACTUAL REPORT	Occurrence Date: 09/05/2001	
AVIATION ETYBOP	Occurrence Type: Accident	
Administrative Information		
Investigator-In-Charge (IIC)		
James F. Struhsaker		
Additional Persons Participating in This Accident/Incide	int Investigation:	
Buddy Makin		
Federal Aviation Administration		
26805 E. 68th Ave., #200 Denver, CO 80249		